

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,251	07/08/2003	Peter Martin	484	9476	
JOHN R. ROSS	7590 11/20/2007	EXAMINER			
TREX ENTERPRISES 10455 PACIFIC CENTER CT. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			YANG, NELSON C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
		•			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/616,251		MARTIN ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Nelson Yang		1641		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAILING DA	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp s, cause the application	COMMUNICATION bwever, may a reply be tim ire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1 n to become ABANDONED	J. lely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Al</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-f	formal matters, pro		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □	Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 29-44</u> is/are pending in the advised to the above claim(s) <u>6,18-20,27 and 31-33</u> Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-17,21-26,29,30 and 38-44</u> is/are Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/organical subject to restriction subject to restriction su</u>	7 is/are withdra		ition.		
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Including the correct to be the Examine Including the correct to be the Examine Including the Correct The Oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Including the Including	accepted or drawing(s) be he tion is required if	eld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	` '	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ate	·-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 30, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendment of claims 1, 5, 6, 27, 38, 41, 42 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 3. Applicant's cancellation of claim 28 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-17, 21-26, 29-30, 38-44 are currently under examination
- 5. Claims 6, 18-20, 27, 31-37 have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 12-17, 26, 29-30, 38-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. [US 6,970,239] in view of Iwasaki et al. [US 2002/0109134] and Bornhop et al. [US 2002/0135772].

Page 3

With respect to claims 1, 38, 41, 42, Chan et al. teach a porous silicon substrate (column 6, lines 45-50), wherein the microfluidic channels (buffer-sample fluid flow channel) may be etched in the substrate (column 6, lines 1-6). Chan et al. further teach Raman detectors (spectral monitor) (column 9, lines 45-55), light sources such as lasers and light emitting diodes (column 10, lines 24-30), as well as computers coupled to the Raman detection units for storing and comparing emission profiles from analytes (column 11, lines 60-67) and micro-electromechanical systems for controlling the pumps and valves (fluid flow control system, column 8, lines 20-37). Chan et al. further teach that the size and shape of the pore size in porous silicon may be selected to be within predetermined limits to optimize optical phenomenon such as plasmon resonant frequency (column 4, lines 20-25). The bottom surface is approximately parallel to the top surface of the substrate (fig. 2). Chan et al. fail to teach that the depth of the pores is at least 10 times larger than the width of the pores, with the depth approximately equal to the depths of the other pores, or teach the presence of at least one interference monitor adapted to monitor interference patterns.

Iwasaki et al., however, teaches pores with depths of 10 nm to 100 µm (para. 0052) and diameters of several nm to several hundreds nm (para. 0050), thus creating pores where the depth of the pores is at least 10 times larger than the width of the pores, and teaches that this allows for a high degree of light control (para. 0014). Furthermore, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged

involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. In this case, the reference discloses the general teachings of the claims, including that the Raman sensitive metal coated on porous silicon and that the pore size can be varied to optimize optical phenomena such as surface plasmon resonant frequency (column 4, lines 15-25). Thus, providing a pore which has a depth and width, with a relative depth and width as claimed by Applicant would be recognized by one of ordinary skill in the art, as shown by Iwasaki et al.

Bornhop et al. also teach the use of an inteformetric backscatter detector (para. 0024). Bornhop et al. further disclose that interferometry is among one of the most sensitive optical detection techniques known (para. 0006), and is one of the most promising measurement techniques when probing of nanoscale environments (para. 0006).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have pores where the depth of the pores is at least 10 times larger than the width of the pores in the invention of Chan et al., as suggested by Iwasaki et al., in order to obtain a high degree of light control, by utilizing optimization techniques known to one of ordinary skill in the art.

It would further have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have had an inteformetric backscatter detector in the device of Chan et al., as suggested by Bornhop et al., in order to obtain more sensitive optical detection measurements of analytes when using the device of Chan et al., particularly during probing of nanoscale environments.

2. With respect to claim 2, Chan et al. teach a porous silicon substrate (column 6, lines 45-50), wherein the microfluidic channels (plurality of channels) may be etched in the substrate

(column 6, lines 1-6). Chan et al. further teach photodiode arrays (column 10, liens 44-46), which would comprise multiple photodiodes (plurality of light sources), as well as one or more Raman detection units (plurality of spectral monitors) (column 9, lines 50-55).

- 3. With respect to claims 3 and 43, although Chan et al. do not teach at least four porous silicon regions, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have at least four porous regions in the invention of Chan et al. through normal optimization procedures known in the art.
- 4. With respect to claims 4, 28, the Raman detection units and computers (column 9, lines 45-44; column 11, lines 60-67) taught by Chan et al. would be capable of making kinetic molecular binding measurements.
- 5. With respect to claims 5 and 44, Chan et al. teach a spectrometer (clam 14).
- 6. With respect to claim 7, Chan et al. teach a porous silicon substrate (column 8, liens 20-23). Therefore, the porous silicon would be located on a silicon substrate, as it is part of the substrate.
- 7. With respect to claim 8, Chan et al. teach a p-doped silicon (column 12, lines 44-47) and may comprise a single crystal silicon wafer (column 12, liens 50-55).
- 8. With respect to claim 9, Chan et al. teach a porous silicon substrate (column 6, lines 45-50), wherein the microfluidic channels (buffer-sample fluid flow channel) may be etched in the substrate (column 6, lines 1-6). Chan et al. further teach valves to control the function of the MEMS (column 8, lines 35-38).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,251

Art Unit: 1641

9. With respect to claim 12, although Chan et al. do not teach pores with nominal widths of 80 to 120 nm and nominal depths of 1000 to 3000 nm, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have pores with nominal widths of 80 to 120 nm and nominal depths of 1000 to 3000 nm in the invention of Chan et al. through normal optimization procedures known in the art.

Page 6

- 10. With respect to claim 13, Chan et al. teach a chamber (cartridge) may be cut out of a silicon wafer and incorporated into a chip or other device (fluidics enclosure) (column 1-6).
- 11. With respect to claim 14, Chan et al. teach charged injection devices (column 10, liens 43-45), which would be capable of injecting samples into a fluidics enclosure.
- With respect to claims 15-16, Chan et al. teach components such as pumps (which would be capable of being sample and buffer pumps), valves, heaters, coolers, filters, control actuator components (pneumatic controls) (column 8, lines 30-37). Chan et al. further teach that the substrate may be connected to various fluid filed compartments (column 60-65), of which these compartments would be capable of being wast tanks, buffer fluid tanks. Chan et al. further teach computers coupled to the Raman detection units for storing and comparing emission profiles from analytes (column 11, lines 60-67) and micro-electro-mechanical systems for controlling the pumps and valves (fluid flow control system, column 8, lines 20-37).
- 13. With respect to claim 17, Chan et al. teach light emitting diodes (column 10, lines 24-30), which would be capable of delivering white light (white light source).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,251

Art Unit: 1641

14. With respect to claims 26 and 40 the Raman detection units and computers taught by Chan et al. are capable analyzing and comprising emission profiles for standard analytes (column 9, lines 45-44; column 11, lines 60-67) and would therefore be able to at least indirectly calculate changes in apparent optical path differences (in order to determine the identity of the analytes in the sample) and determine the values of rate constant k_{on} and k_{off} .

Page 7

- 15. With respect to claim 29, although Chan et al. do not teach pores with nominal widths chosen to produce a modulation index for optimizing optical resolution, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have pores with nominal widths of 80 to 120 nm and nominal depths of 1000 to 3000 nm in the invention of Chan et al. through normal optimization procedures known in the art.
- 16. With respect to claim 30, although Chan et al. do not teach pores with nominal widths chosen to produce a modulation index for optimizing kinetic binding assays, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have pores with nominal widths chosen to produce a modulation index for optimizing kinetic binding assays in the invention of Chan et al. through normal optimization procedures known in the art.
- 17. With respect to claim 39, Chan et al. teach graph forming means (spectrographs (column 10, lines 40-46), which are capable of producing a graph of OPD vs. time.

18. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. [US 6,970,239] in view of Iwasaki et al. [US 2002/0109134] and Bornhop et al. [US 2002/0135772], as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Gates [US 4,037,472]. With respect to claims 10 and 11, Chan et al. teach a porous silicon substrate (column 6, lines 45-50), wherein the microfluidic channels (buffer-sample fluid flow channel) may be etched in the substrate (column 6, lines 1-6). Chan et al. further teach Raman detectors (spectral monitor) (column 9, lines 45-55), light sources such as lasers and light emitting diodes (column 10, lines 24-30), as well as computers coupled to the Raman detection units for storing and comparing emission profiles from analytes (column 11, lines 60-67) and micro-electro-mechanical systems for controlling the pumps and valves (fluid flow control system, column 8, lines 20-37). Chan et al. fail to specifically teach the use of pinch valves.

Gates, however, teach that a particular advantage of pinch valves is that it is less likely to become clogged by suspended solid materials in the samples being drained, than would any valve of conventional design (column 3, lines 15-35).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to use pinch valves, as the pinch valves would be less likely to become clogged by suspended solid materials in the samples being drained.

Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan et al. 19. [US 6,970,239] in view of Iwasaki et al. [US 2002/0109134] and Bornhop et al. [US 2002/0135772], as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Virtanen [US 6,342,349].

With respect to claims 21-23, Chan et al. teach linker groups comprising polytetrafluoroethylene, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, polystyrene, polypropylene, polyacrylamide, polyethylene or other known polymers silanes, alkanes, derivatized silanes or derivatized alkanes (column 8, lines 5-17), which one of ordinary skill in the art would realize would include PEG and other carboxylic acid containing molecules.

Virtanen further teaches the use of BIO-PEG-carboxylic acid for use as a cleavable spacer capable of recognizing IgG (column 71-72, example 2).

Therefore, since Chan et al. provides motivation for utilizing different linker groups for binding different species, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to specifically use a carboxylic acid containing PEG molecule to functionalize the pores, in order bind antibodies such as IgG, that are also cleavable spacers.

With respect to claims 24-25, although neither Chan et al. nor Virtanen teach PEG molecules comprising four monomers with a total length of 19.2 Angstroms, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranged involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have PEG molecules comprising four monomers with a total length of 19.2 Angstroms through normal optimization procedures known in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-17, 21-26, 29-30, 38-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,251 Page 10

Art Unit: 1641

Conclusion

20. No claims are allowed.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0826. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nelson Yang Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600